

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/834,835	BRABANT ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Monique R Jackson	1773	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) Monique R Jackson. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Robert C. Haldiman. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 June 2004

**Time:** 12:45PM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

*Claims 6 & 7*

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Applicant's Attorney agreed to the Examiner's Amendment as recited in the attached office action which corresponds to the amendment recited in the faxed document received 6/21/04 wherein the Examiner noted that the faxed amendment was improper because it included an improper claim identifier..